

Foreword

10 11

12 Mechanotransduction, the conversion of a mechanical stimulus into a biological 13 response constitutes the basis for a plethora of fundamental biological processes 14 15 such as the senses of touch, balance and hearing and contributes critically to development and homeostasis in all organisms. Perception of incident mechanical 16 17 stimuli is critically important for interfacing with the physical world. Naturally, the 18 mechanisms underlying the capability of living cells to receive and act in response 19 to mechanical inputs are among the most ancient, implemented during evolution. 20 Proteins with mechanosensitive properties are ubiquitously present in eubacteria, 21 archaea and eukarya, and are postulated to have been an essential part of the 22 physiology of the Last Universal Ancestor. The first mechanosensitive processes 23 may have evolved as backup mechanisms for cell protection, e.g. to reduce intra-24 cellular pressure and membrane tension during osmotic swelling. Subsequent 25 organismal diversification and specialization resulted in variable requirements 26 for mechanotransduction in different organisms. Hence, evolutionary pressure 27 has shaped a large repertoire of mechanotransducers, optimized for a great assort-28 ment of tasks that range from maintenance of intracellular osmotic balance and 29 pressure to our impressive ability of hearing and discriminating sounds, and read-30 ing Braille code with our fingertips.

31 Elegant genetic and electrophysiological studies have shown that specialized 32 macromolecular complexes, encompassing mechanically gated ion channels, 33 play a central role in the transformation of mechanical forces into a cellular 34 signal, which takes place in mechanosensory organs of diverse organisms. These 35 complexes are highly efficient sensors, closely entangled with their surrounding 36 environment. Such association appears essential for proper channel gating, and 37 provides proximity of the mechanosensory apparatus to the source of triggering 38 mechanical energy. In addition to the core channel proteins, several other 39 potentially interacting molecules have in some cases been identified, which are 40 likely parts of the mechanotransducing apparatus. Based on cumulative data, a 41 model of the sensory mechanotransducer has emerged that encompasses our 42 current understanding of the process and fulfills the structural requirements 43 dictated by its dedicated function. It remains to be seen how general this model 44 is, and whether it will withstand the impiteous test of time. 45

v

## Foreword

Mechanotransduction in living organisms can operationally be categorized as sensory or regulatory. Sensory mechanotransduction or mechanosensation alerts the organism to mechanical inputs in the form of touch, pressure, stretch, sound, vibration and acceleration. Such stimuli provide vital awareness of the environment, and information with regard to the organism's relative position and movement. This prowess is important in negotiating with the physical world and is based on highly adapted mechanotransducers that have evolved to optimally carry out the task.

Both cellular and organismal homeostasis often requires adjustment to mechan-10 ical forces generated by environmental sources or internal processes. For example, 11 osmotic balance, ion concentration homeostasis, cell volume and shape regulation, 12 blood pressure and turgor control all depend on appropriately responding to 13 mechanical stretch or shearing forces. Dedicated mechanotransducers in these 14 paradigms serve as regulatory valves that initiate a cascade of events towards 15 adjusting to or counteracting any substantial deviation from normal conditions. 16 The requirement for regulatory mechanotransduction is probably as ancient as life 17 itself. Cells constantly need to fight shearing and stretch forces they encounter, and 18 the faculty of mechanotransduction was most likely decisive for the survival of the 19 first cell. The universal occurrence of mechanotransduction capabilities in all living 20 organisms argues for such early emergence of mechanotransducers.

21 Sensory and regulatory mechanotransducers obey similar principles and it is 22 likely that the first derived from the second by refinement towards acquiring 23 dedicated functions. In higher organisms, specific neurons, the mechanorecep-24 tors are equipped with a mechanotransducing apparatus and signal upon 25 reception of a stimulus. Frequently, these cells are implanted within accessory 26 structures that serve to filter and amplify an incoming stimulus. For example 27 skin touch receptor neurons are occasionally associated with hair shafts, while 28 hair cells of the inner ear are enclosed in elaborate anatomical structures that 29 greatly facilitate capture and tunneling of sound wave energy.

30 This book, edited by Andre Kamkin and Irina Kiseleva, provides an excel-31 lent point of reference for the current state of the art in the field of mechan-32 otransduction, encompassing authoritative essays on a series of diverse, 33 relevant topics. The articles are properly organized into four parts, with the 34 first part focusing on the mechanosensitivity of nerve cells, the second on 35 mechanoreceptors, the third on the biomechanics of the nervous system and 36 the fourth on the mechanosensitivity of the neurovascular system. Thus, the 37 book provides much needed coverage on key themes of modern mechanotrans-38 duction research and is a timely undertaking, which nicely complements the 39 current body of the literature in the field. 40

41 42

43

44

45

Crete, March 18, 2008

Professor Nektarios Tavernarakis Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Foundation for Research and Technology – Hellas Heraklion, Crete, Greece

vi